



Managing Computational Resources with Machine Learning Policies

Alexandros Patras

Computer Systems Lab (CSL) Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering University of Thessaly, Greece



This project has received funding from the European Community's Horizon Europe Programme under Grant Agreement #101092912.





Introduction



- Challenge
 - Efficient Resource Allocation in Edge Cloud Environments
- Problem
 - How to dynamically balance performance requirements, energy efficiency, and system constraints
 - Heterogeneous computing devices (CPUs, GPUs, FPGAs), workload diversity, multiple configuration options
 - Our Focus is on ML workloads
- Approach
 - Adaptive Reinforcement Learning (RL)-based congiruation engine in multicore CPUs in cloud nodes.



System Architecture



Key components

- A system manager that constantly monitors the system and accepts new job requests.
- An **RL architecture** to guide resource allocation and configuration decisions based on the State of the system
- **Telemetry** collectors to capture HW metrics and **Action** adapters to apply any needed configuration.
- Heterogeneous node architecture comprising CPUs, GPUs, FPGA Note: Only multicore CPUs in this work











- Datacenter-grade node consisting of a dual-socket AMD EPYC, 64 physical (128 logical) cores
- 1-100 Logical cores actually used
- Metrics recorded using Open Telemetry tools
- Containerized ResNet used as workload
- Trained the RL agents using 200,000 timesteps & offline.





Experimental Evaluation (I)



Set Application Latency Target A_T for batch inference to 7ms

- optimal configuration when 25 logical cores are allocated to run ResNet in parallel
- beyond that, performance stagnates while power (obviously) increases



Application Metric vs Power Consumption

ML4ECS Workshop - HiPEAC 2025



Experimental Evaluation (II)



Experiments for various Application Latency Targets A_T

RL agent achieves allocations which are very close to the optimal allocations

Application	Predicted	Handpicked
Latency Target	Configuration	configuration
(ms)	(Cores to allocate)	(Cores to allocate)
5	57	61
7	25	25
10	35	34
15	10	11



Conclusion and Future Work



- RL models achieve near-optimal core allocation for performanceconstrained ML inference having power dissipation as optimization criterion
- The node-level manager is part of a larger mechanism that implements the MAPE model (Monitor, Analyze, Plan, Execute) at the Cloud-Edge continuum
- Future Work (node-level)
 - Use on Hardware accelerators (GPUs, FPGAs)
 - Thread affinity, voltage/frequency scaling
 - Different versions of the ML workload spanning performance vs accuracy
 - Carbon intensity as additional optimization criterion
 - Data collection





Thank you!